

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,281	07/06/2001	Toshiaki Tsuda	Q65287	3917
7590 11/05/2003			EXAMINER	
	MION, ZINN, MACP	BERCK, KENNETH A		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 , ,			2879	,

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		y
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	09/899,281	TSUDA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ken A Berck	2879
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply of Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sunication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
closed in accordance with the practi		ntters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	-P	
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the ap		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/an	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	to a modern death of the	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers	ion and/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2001</u> is		to by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any obje		•
11) The proposed drawing correction filed		
If approved, corrected drawings are req		•
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received in A	Application No
Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign land 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	guage provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9



Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment B, filed 8/8/2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gandhi et al. (US 5747919).

Gandhi discloses (fig 2) an insulating plug for a discharge lamp device with a body (37) having a front end portion and a rear end portion, an arc tube (8) fixedly held at the front end portion, a lamp-side connector (30) provided at the rear end portion arranged so that a power-supplying connector can be attached to and detached from the lamp-side connector, and the entirety of the body is made of a glass-fiber-reinforced plastic (column 5, table 1).

Regarding claim 2, Gandhi discloses the plastic includes from 20 weight % to 80 weight % glass fibers and polyphenylene sulfide resin.

Regarding claim 3, Gandhi discloses a discharge lamp device provided with an insulating plug.

Regarding claim 4, Gandhi discloses a discharge lamp device provided with an insulating plug.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103



Art Unit: 2879

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gandhi et al (US 5747919) in view of Nagashima et al. (US 5910560).

Gandhi discloses all of the above claim limitations but fails to discloses 40 to 60 weight % glass fibers.

Nagashima discloses using 40 to 60 weight % glass fibers (table 3) in order to have a resin material having an excellent processing property and a high degree of freedom and for reinforcing.

Hence it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the plug of Gandhi with the 40 to 60 weight % glass fibers (table 3) in order to have a resin material having an excellent processing property and a high degree of freedom and for reinforcing, as taught by Nagashima.

Regarding claim 6, Gandhi discloses a discharge lamp device provided with an insulating plug.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,281

Art Unit: 2879

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken A Berck whose telephone number is (703)305-7984. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (703)305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

kah

VIP PATEL VIP PATEL EXAMINER